

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/615,810 | 07/08/2003 | John W. Dankwardt | 021153-001900US | 1458 | |
| 20350 | 7590 06/07/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP | | | BROWN, JENNINE M | | |
| TWO EMBA | RCADERO CENTER | | | | |
| EIGHTH FL | OOR | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN FRANC | CISCO, CA 94111-383 | 4 | 1755 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/615,810 | DANKWARDT, JO | OHN W. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jennine M. Brown | 1755 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence ad | ldress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed O) days will be considered timels from the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 | 8 Anril 2005 | · | | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | | nrosecution as to the | e merits is | | | |
| · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| . closed in accordance with the practice unde | si Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.D. 1 | 1, 400 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | · | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application | ion. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | drawn from consideration. | | • | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | • | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | | the Examiner | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con | * * * | | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | • | • | • • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | inn mindte ender 25 H O O S 44 | 10(-) (-1) (5) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl | ication No | Stago | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | · | ceiveu in this mational | Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bur | | raivad | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | iist of the certified copies not rec | .eiveu. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sum | mary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | ail Date | 0.450) | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date | (08) 5) ☐ Notice of Information (5) ☐ Other: | mal Patent Application (PTC | J-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 1755

Election/Restrictions

The traversal is on the grounds that the Examiner has not shown a serious burden and would be required to examine all the claims, irrespective of whether the groups requested are independent and distinct inventions. This is not found persuasive because 37 CFR 1.142 states "for purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation of separate classification, or separate status in the art, or a different field of search as defined in MPEP § 808.02." A prima facie burden has been shown by separate classification as presented previously in the Detailed Action of 03/07/05.

Claims 18-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/11/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase, "conditions sufficient to produce the coupled aromatic compound" is indefinite because when one looks to the specification, only comparative

Application/Control Number: 10/615,810

Art Unit: 1755

examples are given and it is unclear whether these are to represent prior art examples or applicant's own work. According to US practice it is common for "comparative examples" to represent work done by others and "examples" to represent work done by the applicant.

Claims Analysis

The examiner is interpreting "wherein" as a transitional phrase equivalent to "comprising". See MPEP 2111.02.

The examiner is interpreting claim 1 in light of the preamble because the method breathes life into the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang, et al. (US 5874606 A).

See entire document. Huang, et al. disclose a method for coupling an aryl magnesium halide compound with an aromatic ether to produce a coupled aromatic

Art Unit: 1755

compound of an aromatic aryl compound in the presence of a nickel catalyst comprising a phosphino ligand. (col. 1, I. 37-col. 2, I. 48) Tricyclohexylphosphine is disclosed (col. 2, I. 9). Suitable ether solvents are disclosed (preferred embodiment is THF; col. 2, I. 28-35). Method of making the components and product is also disclosed (col. 2, I. 51-col. 3, I. 48; col. 4, I. 36-col. 5, I. 22).

Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent family by Adams, et al. (US 5811549 A, US 6218537 B1, US 6329526 B1 and US 6569871 B1) disclose processes of preparing imidazole, pyridyl and pyrimidine compounds and substituted compounds thereof which use nickel phosphino compounds in their synthesis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennine M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-1364. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 9:30 AM - 7:30 PM; Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,810

Art Unit: 1755

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmb

SUPERVISORY PATENT/EXAM/NER